

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/716,531 09/19/96 MAHE 016800-111

HM12/0511

NORMAN H STEPNO BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS PO BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

BURKE, J PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 

**EXAMINER** 

1642

20

DATE MAILED:

05/11/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

m 2 1 1 4

Application No. **08/716,531** 

Applicant(s)

Mahe et al

Examiner

Julie E. Burke, (Reeves), Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

1642



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]  a) perpires provided months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of rejection.	, whichever f the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purp determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	poses of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on 8 Mar 1999 (or we period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>8 Mar 1999</u> has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	effect,
The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simpli issues for appeal.	lifying the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: Final rejection set forth 12/7/98 was properly made because no new grounds of rejection were repeause all claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could be finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office Action.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  none	
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submit separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	tted in a
X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application	n in condition
for allowance because:	
the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that	the peptide
for allowance because:  the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.	of the peptide
the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminstration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co)  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new	of the peptide
<ul> <li>the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co.)</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li> </ul>	of the peptide
the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co.)  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.	of the peptide
<ul> <li>the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co.)</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):</li> <li>Claims allowed: none</li> </ul>	of the peptide
the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.    The proposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):   Claims allowed: none   Claims objected to: none   Claims rejected: 1-11 and 16-19   Claims not been approved by the Examiner in the final rejection   Claims not been approved by the Examiner in the final rejection   Claims rejected: 1-10 and 16-19   Claims not been approved by the Examiner in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of for antinociceptive (page 137, column of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration of the late phase after i.p. adminsitration of the late phase af	of the peptide of 1) See "Ô
<ul> <li>the claims braodly recite the treatment of any type of inflammation and Oluyomi et al specifically teach that is "significantly active in the late phase after i.p. and p.o. adminsitration" for antinociceptive (page 137, co.)</li> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were new the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):         <ul> <li>Claims allowed: none</li> <li>Claims objected to: none</li> <li>Claims rejected: 1-11 and 16-19</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	of the peptide of 1) See "Ô